

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/339,222 | 06/24/1999 | TAKFUMI NOGUCHI | 1982-0135P | 2334 |
| 7 | 590 12/16/2002 | | | |
| BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH | | | EXAMINER | |
| P O BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 | | | VIDA, MELANIE M | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2697 | |

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|---|
| | 09/339,222 | NOGUCHI ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Melanie M Vida | 2697 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication | · · · - - · | |
| Period for Reply | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | 24 June 1999 . | |
| <u> </u> | This action is non-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for al | | tters, prosecution as to the merits is |
| closed in accordance with the practice un Disposition of Claims | nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C. | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |
| 4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the appli | ication. | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with | ndrawn from consideration. | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar | nd/or election requirement. | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan | niner. | |
| 10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 June 1999</u> is/are | ∷ a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected | d to by the Examiner. |
| Applicant may not request that any objection t | | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on | | isapproved by the Examiner. |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required i | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for | eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: — | | |
| 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum | nents have been received. | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docum | nents have been received in Ap | pplication No |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a | Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | • |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom | • | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language | | • |
| 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom | | |
| ttachment(s) | , _, | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No | 5) Notice of Ir | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office | e Action Summary | Part of Paper No. 4 |

Art Unit: 2697

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable by Fuchsberger, U.S. Patent 4,825,297. Regarding, claim 1, Fuchsberger teaches a first conversion means where the RGB image signals, read as the digital code values of each pixel of image data, are converted to linear transparency image signals through antilogarithms of the image signals (Figure 2, elements 10-13), and (column 4, line 50-54). Additionally, the pixels in RGB space are converted to YUV color space, which has a linear relationship in light intensity values to be corrected, (column 4, lines 57-68), (Figure 2, element 13). Any of the steps comprising elements 10-13 in Figure 2 may be read as the first conversion means. Fuchsberger also teaches that the YUV image data is next fed to both a color saturation regulator and a contrast enhancement circuit, read as the second conversion where image data converted from the first conversion means undergoes at least one of color or density correction (Figure 2, module 14, 15), (column 5, lines 5-8). Finally, Fuchsberger discloses a third conversion means in Figure 2, element 16, whereby the values of the pixel in YUV, from the second conversion means are restored back to the original coordinate system, RGB, (column 5, line 8-11), and (Figure 2, element 16). Regarding, claim 4, please refer to the like teachings of claim 1. Regarding, claim 5, please refer to the like teachings of claim 1 and elements 13-16, of Figure 2 comprise the device for carrying out the first through third conversions (column 4, line 57 through column 5, line 14). Regarding,

Art Unit: 2697

claim 5, please refer to the like teachings of claim 1, and the recording means or ROM for the first conversion means is stored in the color matrix, Figure 2, element 10 (column 4, line 43-46). Further, the actual image processing can be controlled by an input element 5 in Figure 1, (column 4, line 8-11). Fuchsberger inherently teaches that there is a recording medium for the second conversion means in the elements 14, and 15, of Figure 2. Finally, the recording medium for the third conversion means is in the memory of element 16, in Figure 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fuchsberger as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Stokes, et al.

http://www.w3.org/Graphics/Color/sRGB.html. Fuchsberger teaches the first, second, and third conversion means in claim 1, but fails to teach the specific equations of the first or third conversion means. Stokes teaches the equivalent equations for the first or third conversion means, (page 11 of 17, equations 1.2a, 1.2b). Stokes illustrates equations 1.2a, read as the equivalent first set of three equations for **A**, **B**, **and C** in the claim. The second set of three equations for **A**, **B**, **C**, in claim 2 is being read as the equivalent set given by Stokes in 1.2b for **R**'sRGB, **G**'sRGB, **B**'sRGB, respectively. With regards to equation 1.2a and 1.2b, **A**, **B**, **C**, are read as Stoke's, **R**'sRGB, **G**'sRGB, **B**'sRGB, respectively. Similarly, **A**', **B**', **C**' are read as Stoke's, **R**sRGB, respectively Further, the constant, **e**, in the claim is read to be equal to the

Art Unit: 2697

constant, one, in Stoke's disclosure. Next, the constant, a, is read as the constant, 12.92, in equation 1.2a or any constant that is fine-tuned according to the image processing application. With regards to equation 1.2b and the second set of three equations in the claim, the exponent constant, c, is read as any constant including the one illustrated by Stokes. Further, equation 1.2a, places an upper bound on R_{sRGB}, G_{sRGB}, B_{sRGB}, whereby through mathematical translation of these bounds Stokes inherently defines an upper bound on R'sRGB, G'sRGB, B'sRGB. This inherent upper bound on R'sRGB, G'sRGB, B'sRGB is being read as an upper limit or a predetermined upper value, f, per the claim. Similarly, Stoke teaches that there is lower bound given for R_{sRGB} , G_{sRGB} , B_{sRGB} , whereby Stokes inherently defines a lower bound through mathematical translation of the bound given for this equation for R'sRGB, G'sRGB, B'sRGB. The inherent lower bound on R'sRGB. G'sRGB, B'sRGB is being read as a lower limit or a predetermined lower limit, f, per the claim. The constant, d, in the claim is being read as any numerical, offset or constant value to the color pixels, such as that given by Stokes, 0.055 in equation 1.2B. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine Stoke's equations, 1.2a and 1.2b with the first and third conversion means described in the specification and the claims because Stoke's method is an open-international standard, which can be freely modified, or used by anyone in the field of image processing. Further, Stoke's equations allow for invertability in integer math, whereby the first conversion equations could be inverted in order to acquire the results of the third conversion means as described in the specification.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fuchsberger, et. al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Stokes, et al.

http://www.w3.org/Graphics/Color/sRGB.html. Fuchsberger discloses all the elements of claim 1

Art Unit: 2697

except a means to perform an affine transformation. According to the definition in the

specification, Stokes performs an affine transformation in equation 1.2b, page 11 of 17, where

the constant value 0.055 is a negative offset and the constant value 1.055 is the gain.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 3.

disclosure.

Usami, US Patent 5,608,549 apparatus and method for color image processing.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Melanie M Vida whose telephone number is (703) 306-4220.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jeffrey Hofsass can be reached on 305-4717. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-6743 for regular

communications and (703) 308-6743 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

mmv

MMV

December 4, 2002

HWllams

Feehnology Center 2700

Page 5

Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) 6/18/01

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading, "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes," on the back of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the Notice of Allowability. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson

2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsperson. MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made, other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes.

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit the drawing corrections within the time period set in the attached Office communication. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application